

Advising the Financially Vulnerable New Gen Y Household

Executive Summary

Conrad S. Ciccotello, J.D., Ph.D. Director of Wealth Management Programs, Georgia State University Executive Director, Huebner Foundation TIAA Institute Fellow The TIAA Institute's September 2013 Symposium on the Financial Engagement of Gen Y highlighted a number of issues of importance to individuals, employers, financial service providers, and policy makers. As Yakoboski (2013) states: "Generation Y is the largest generation in U.S. history. Financial decision-making by Gen Y and the state of their personal finances have significant implications for the individuals themselves and for the U.S. economy overall. It is therefore important to understand Gen Y's personal finances. This can then help identify strategies to better engage Gen Y in managing their personal finances to ensure financial well-being." Building on the scholarly exchanges in the Symposium, I explore the financial vulnerability of new Gen Y households. Using a representative example from financial plan projects completed by senior-level undergraduates ("new Gen Y households"), I observe high levels of fixed costs, both in terms of living expenses and required debt payments. Over the period of observation, income volatility is also increasing, due in part to shifts from employee (W-2) to independent contractor (1099) status. Together, these observations point to a high level of vulnerability to income or expense shocks. Sound financial advice can greatly benefit new Gen Y households, but current advisory business models focus on either transactions or assets under management. Many of these new Gen Y households have financial advice needs that are more oriented toward budgeting, debt management and basic insurance issues. With little to no assets to manage, the cohort is grossly underserved. This raises an important policy question: What advisory sources exist to assist Gen Y households with becoming financially healthy? The stakes are high as the results suggest that the seeds of income (and lifestyle) disparity are planted early. New Gen Y households with robust safety nets either from family or group benefits through the workplace can separate widely from those without such supports. In line with the 2013 Symposium's goal, efforts by employee benefits offices to encourage Gen Y engagement are vital. In addition, behavioral financial "nudges" can also build financial strength in Gen Y finances (Ciccotello and Yakoboski, 2014).

Any opinions expressed herein are those of the author, and do not necessarily represent the views of TIAA, the TIAA Institute or any other organization with which the author is affiliated.

Introduction

The TIAA Institute's September 2013 symposium on the financial engagement of Gen Y highlighted a number of issues of importance to individuals, employers, financial service providers and policy makers. As Yakoboski (2013) states: "Generation Y is the largest generation in U.S. history. Financial decision-making by Gen Y and the state of their personal finances have significant implications for the individuals themselves and for the U.S. economy overall. It is therefore important to understand Gen Y's personal finances. This can then help identify strategies to better engage Gen Y in managing their personal finances to ensure financial well-being." Building on the scholarly exchanges in the Symposium, I explore the *financial vulnerability* of new Gen Y households in this *Trends and Issues*.

Gen Y is a cohort that is generally considered to include those with a birth date ranging from early 1980s to early 2000s. In this article, I focus on Gen Y "new households," (defined as a new household unit that is headed by a Gen Y individual). I gather impressions about this demographic group in the context of a senior level undergraduate financial planning project that I have administered over the past 16 years. I have reviewed over 500 student plans in this period. The context is a large, urban research university with a student population that is highly diverse on many levels, including socio-economic status.

Each student completes a comprehensive financial plan that spans the major domains of financial advice, including financial health, goal setting, taxes, insurance, investments, financial freedom planning and estate planning. I ask each student to consider themselves as a financially independent "new household" and analyze themselves from that perspective. Those who will remain in "full-time student dependent status" are encouraged to drop the course and return when they are close enough to graduation or financial independence to more fully benefit from the planning project. While financial independence is a key construct in the project, it is clear that many students will continue to receive some outside support after their new household "launches." It is also apparent that many students will provide support to individuals outside their new household after launch. Planning for these inter- and intra-generational transfers is a key aspect of the project.

Every plan requires a current balance sheet and a *pro forma* income and expenditures statement. The balance sheet is a "snapshot" of their current position, with fair market values for assets. The income statement is a full-year projection of income and expenditures. Some students are already employed while taking the class, and in a living situation that will be stable on a one-year look forward basis. Others have temporary or no current employment, and are planning a transition in living arrangements. For these latter students, the projection elements include both income and expenditures. I encourage documentation of key estimates of both, including sources for starting salaries, discussions with currently-employed peers, and data regarding rents and other costs of the planned living arrangement.

To encourage the student to take an analytical approach to their situation, I ask for a one-page summary of the "client" that discusses key personal, family and employment issues. As part of that summary, I request that the student describes the key elements that have influenced their "money personality." This qualitative component has the goal of getting the student to consider how they approach financial decision-making, and why so.

Representative New Gen Y Household Finances

This section discusses representative financial statements—balance sheet and income and expenditure statement—for the new Gen Y households described above. The representative nature of the numbers in these statements reflects a typical new Gen Y household. There is a wide dispersion of numbers across the student plans. For purposes of discussion in this paper, I will focus on a case which is representative of the median new Gen Y household.

The representative new Gen Y household has negative net worth (assets minus liabilities) of -\$28,000. This is not surprising, or even a phenomenon limited to Gen Y. Student loans drive almost the entire negative net worth. Since the Great Recession, credit card usage in the United States has declined, and the drop has been more pronounced for millennials. Princeton Survey Research Associates reports that nearly two-thirds of Gen Y individuals did not have a credit card in 2014 (see Skowronski). Some of that decline in credit card usage has been offset by student loan growth among Gen Y individuals, as student loans are used for both education and living purposes (See Glowacki and Hunley, 2012).

| Table 1: Representative Balance Sheet of New Gen Y Household | | | | |
|--|---------|----------------------|------------|--|
| Assets | | Liabilities | | |
| Cash | \$0 | Credit card balance | \$2,500 | |
| Short-term financial assets | \$500 | Student loan balance | \$25,000 | |
| Investments | \$0 | Other loans balance | \$2,000 | |
| House | \$0 | | | |
| Personal or use assets | \$1,000 | | | |
| | | NET WORTH | (\$28,000) | |

The representative new Gen Y household has a mix of employee (W-2) and independent contractor (1099) income that totals \$30,000. During the last 15 years, and especially since the Great Recession, the trend has been increased 1099-type income. For the Gen Y cohort, the last six years have been especially challenging, as full-time entry-level salaried positions in a number of career fields have been slow to re-emerge. The result is greater reliance on parttime work that can be either in W-2 or 1099 status. For the representative Gen Y household, the variability to the inflows line is higher than in the past.

The representative new Gen Y household has expected expenditures of \$28,000, and thus and expected surplus of earned income minus expenditures of \$2,000 (\$30,000\$28,000). The projected expenditures contemplate few contingencies. This is one aspect of vulnerability. Consider the impact of an upward "shock" to expenditures. This could be an unexpected rent increase, a large repair to a critical asset like a car, or a significant out-of-pocket medical expenditure. One approach to address the expense shock would be to rely on short-term assets, but the representative household has very little liquidity on its balance sheet. The household could also use credit card debt to cover the expense shock, but the 2009 Credit Card Act has greatly limited credit line capacity. Another option would be to consider help from family or friends. If the expense shock also hinders the ability to earn money, then the effect of the expense shock would be compounded by a negative earnings shock.

| Table 2: Representative Income and Expenditures Statement of New Gen Y Household | | | | | |
|--|----------|------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Cash Inflows | | | | | |
| Earned (mix of W-2 and 1099) | \$30,000 | | | | |
| Cash Outflows | | | | | |
| Federal income tax | \$2,300 | Food/personal care | \$4,000 | | |
| State income tax | \$1,200 | Transportation | \$3,000 | | |
| Social Security/Medicare payroll tax | \$2,300 | All insurance premiums | \$1,000 | | |
| Rent/utilities | \$7,200 | Clothes | \$1,000 | | |
| Student loan payments | \$2,000 | Credit card payments | \$1,000 | | |
| Entertainment/phone | \$2,000 | Miscellaneous | \$1,000 | | |
| Expected surplus: \$30,000 - \$28,000 = \$2,000 (6.7% of gross) | | | | | |

Fixed Costs and Vulnerability

I examine the financial vulnerability in the representative new Gen Y household by gathering estimates of the level of fixed costs in the projected expenditures. Expenses can be classified as fixed, mechanically variable or discretionary. In the long run, arguably all living expenses, such as rent, food and utilities, are variable, but consider the short run. Some expenses take on a fixed nature as failing to meet those expenses result in extremely high costs or an extreme shock to lifestyle. An example could be breaking a lease. Mechanically variable expenses change automatically in direct proportion to income; federal and state income taxes and the Social Security payroll tax are examples. Lastly, discretionary expenditures can be cut without severe lifestyle disruption.

What household expenditures are fixed and what household expenditures are variable (either mechanically or as discretionary)? While this is a somewhat qualitative exercise, students generally recognize that once they have chosen a certain standard of living, that choice fixes a number of their expenditures. Choosing to live in an apartment in a certain part of the city, for example, can strongly influence rent, utilities, transportation expenses, and impact other issues like food costs. Once the location is chosen, living expenses have a largely fixed nature since it could be difficult to cut expenditures deeply without lifestyle upheaval.

The representative new Gen Y household estimates that 40% of its living expenses are variable. Consider the mechanically variable tax components of that 40%, including the marginal tax rate impacts of 15% federal income, 7.65% Social Security & Medicare, and 6% (in Georgia) state income taxes. Removing the sum of the tax components (total of 28.65%) leaves about 11% of expenses as discretionary.

Besides fixed living expenses, new Gen Y households typically have some debt. The representative household has both student loan (\$25,000 balance) and credit card (\$2,500 balance) debt. The required interest payments on these debts total \$3,000 annually. Setting aside any potential deferment of student loan payments, this amount must be paid each year in order to satisfy the obligations.

Combining the impacts of fixed living and financing payments leads to a provocative assessment. There is a great deal of sensitivity between the "top line" (earned income) and

the "bottom line" (surplus) in the representative new Gen Y household. The estimate is that the bottom line percentage change will be a factor of 10 times the percentage change in the top line. Consider this stylized example: The new Gen Y household gets an annual \$3,000 raise to \$33,000 (from \$30,000), so the percentage change in inflows is +10%. This results in the surplus increasing from \$2,000 to \$4,000, a percentage change of +100%.

In the reverse scenario, the new Gen Y household earns \$3,000 less than expected on an annual basis. The percentage change in inflows is -10% and the percentage change in surplus is -100% as the surplus decreases from \$2,000 to \$0. In cases where earned income decreases by more than \$3,000, the surplus will turn to a deficit.

Implications

The analysis shows the high level of sensitivity that new Gen Y households have to income and expense shocks. This sensitivity is one factor breeding the growing separation of financial strength among households in the United States. On the upside, there is significant potential to increase savings at early ages. On the downside, however, there is vulnerability to either negative shocks to income or unexpected increases in expenses.

Consider first the upside scenario. Salary increases tend to go with strong work performance. New Gen Y households with strong human capital often have other advantages. They have received both financial and moral support that encourages focus on their academics. Better academic performance leads to a higher probability of valuable internships in their desired area of employment. This support, often from parents or grandparents, also means that these new Gen-Y households often have a strong balance sheet with little or no student debt and little or no credit card debt, plus an ample safety net to deal with expense shocks. One of the more recent manifestations of this advantage is the ability (under the Affordable Care Act) of children to stay on parents' health insurance until age 26.

In contrast, the Gen Y household downside scenario combines a loss in income with a weaker balance sheet (low levels of liquid assets and little if any debt capacity) and less or no family support. In the large urban university setting, the dispersion between support from and support to family members is very wide. The downside case can thus result from an expense shock to a family member outside

of the Gen Y household. For example, this might involve paying out-of-pocket medical expenses for an uninsured sibling or parent.

The new Gen Y household analysis shows that the seeds of growing income and wealth disparity are planted early. Two environmental factors deserve mention. First, the increasingly competitive global labor market is accelerating disruptions, placing premiums on those with marketable skills and punishing the rest. Second, the shifts in risk from governments and businesses to households (as seen by the move to 401(k) retirement plans and the growth of high-deductible health insurance plans) is driving a spread between those who have a strong family safety net and those who do not.

Gen Y is the first cohort to enter this changed world as a newly independent household unit. While other cohorts, such as Gen X, have certainly felt its effects, they did not enter this world en masse. From my observations of over 15 years of new Gen Y household plans, I have observed the emergence of several new risk management mechanisms to deal with this confluence of factors. One is the growth of the barter and sharing economy - enabled by social media. For example, rather than owning a car, Gen Y increasingly tends to rely on innovations such as Zip-Car. This converts the fixed costs of auto ownership, which are both operating and financial (if a car loan is taken) to the variable cost of using the car only when needed. Gen Y households are able to leverage the benefits of "friends and family" to trade in risks. The in-kind economy involves the sharing of time and non-financial assets, as opposed to dollars, to manage risk. Gen Y's comfort level with social media and multi-tasking capability make this adaptation possible.

Advising and Policy

The new Gen Y household analysis suggests a critical need for financial advice. The representative household is highly vulnerable to both income and expense shocks. Good decisions can lever into significant standard-of-living increases over a lifetime, while poor decisions have the opposite effect.

Despite the need, the representative financial statements point to challenges serving the Gen Y cohort with traditional advising models. Advisors have traditionally earned money via commissions and/or fees. The advisory trend is toward "fee only" models that rely on building assets under

management. The challenge is that the representative Gen Y household has a negative net worth and no financial assets to manage. Advisors also could charge for time. While this might be value added for the Gen Y client, their ability (and thus, willingness) to pay will likely be low.

New ultra-low cost money management models that rely heavily on technological delivery and algorithmic portfolio rebalancing are one answer. The results in this article reveal, however, that financial issues besides investment are driving vulnerability. These include budgeting, debt management, insurance and liquidity. Working with a representative Gen Y on these matters may be too much of a "loss leader" for most advisors.

Policies can assist with the goal. The TIAA symposium on Millennial personal finances highlighted Human Resource (HR) office methods to build engagement, as Yakoboski (2013) describes. New Gen Y households with robust employee benefits and a supportive HR office can prosper. Defaults in 401(k) plans to both enroll and place in target-date funds are also positive. The very recent promulgation of MyRA by the U.S. Treasury can also assist new Gen Y households without retirement plans.

Healthcare remains a huge challenge for Gen Y. The planning exercise reveals that some new Gen Y households benefit from remaining on their parents' plan. But this is not representative. Among those with no parent or employer coverage, the choice to purchase insurance is often a difficult financial one. Legally, this is technically not a choice since the Affordable Care Act requires the purchase of insurance or the payment of a penalty. Despite my advice to purchase health insurance, I perceive that a number of new Gen Y households in my sample do not carry it. This exposes them to very large health and financial risks.

Summary

This report examines the financial status of a representative new Gen Y household relying on observations from 15 years of financial planning projects. An examination of Gen Y finances reveals high levels of fixed living and financing costs, which result in a great deal of sensitivity between the "top line" (earned income) and the "bottom line" (surplus or deficit). Family support is a potential risk management tool, but the analysis suggests that family is becoming a more complex risk bearing unit. There are both intra- as well as inter-generational income transfers. While traditional cash

flows went from older generations to younger, transfers in the opposite direction have also become common.

The shift toward a "1099" workforce, along with the growth of defined contribution style retirement plans and highdeductible health plan coverage, has also greatly increased the vulnerability of new Gen Y households to both temporary and permanent shocks in their standard of living. Traditional financial advice models fail to reach the vast majority of these new Gen Y households as they have little to no money to manage and no reason to purchase a commission product. Advice needs for the new Gen Y household tend to focus more on basic budgeting, debt, liquidity and the structuring of basic insurance, like health, disability and life.

The results illustrate that the seeds of growing disparity in household financial health are thus planted early. Building on the recent TIAA Symposium on Gen Y personal finances, this article stresses the importance of employee benefits office engagement (see Yakoboski, 2013) and behavioral financial "nudges" (Thaler and Sunstein, 2008; Ciccotello and Yakoboski, 2014).

References Cited

- Ciccotello, Conrad and Paul Yakoboski (2014). A Tale of Two Nudges: Improving Financial Outcomes for Boomers and Millennials. Benefits Quarterly, 30(3), 32-37.
- Glowacki, Jonathan and Leighton Hunley (2012). The Student Loan Debt Crisis in Perspective, Milliman, available at http://us.milliman.com/insight/insurance/The-student-loan-debt-crisis-in-perspective/
- Skowronski, Jeanine. More Millennials Say No to Credit Cards. Bankrate, available at http://www.bankrate.com/finance/ credit-cards/more-millennials-say-no-to-credit-cards-1.aspx
- Thaler, Richard and Cass Sunstein. 2008. Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth and Happiness. Yale University Press.
- Yakoboski, P. 2013. Engaging Early Career Workers in their Financial Well Being. TIAA Institute Symposium Trends and Issues. October.

About the Author

Conrad S. Ciccotello is the Interim Department Chair of Risk Management and Insurance and the Director of Wealth Management Programs in the Robinson College of Business at Georgia State University. He is a graduate of the Suffolk Law School and a member of the Pennsylvania and Supreme Court Bars. Ciccotello earned his Ph.D. in Finance at the Pennsylvania State University.

Ciccotello's primary research interests are in law and finance, with emphasis on financial intermediation, organization and contracting. He has over 50 publications including articles in the Journal of Financial Economics, Journal of Law and Economics, Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis, and Financial Analysts Journal. Ciccotello has received research grants from TIAA Institute, Kauffman Foundation, and the William Davidson Institute. He is the author of the first two chapters in Mutual Funds: The Blackwell Series in Finance. Ciccotello's research on the financial advisory profession is cited in the Federal Register and his paper on market timing of mutual funds is entered into the Congressional Record as Senate Banking Committee testimony.

Ciccotello currently serves as a Research Fellow in the TIAA Institute. From 2001-2007, he was Editor of Financial Services Review. Since 2012, he has also served as the Executive Director of Huebner Foundation, which is dedicated to the advancement of the next generation of risk management and insurance educators. Well known in the Atlanta area for his television appearances discussing household finance issues, Ciccotello has also provided expert testimony to the Retirement Committee of the Georgia Senate. He currently serves as the investment consultant for the defined contribution retirement plan for the University System of Georgia.